UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,920 | 10/11/2005 | Shoichiro Watanabe | 043888-0399 | 6930 |
| | 7590 06/28/201 `WILL & EMERY LL | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 13TH STR | EET, NW | ARCIERO, ADAM A | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/28/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/552,920 | WATANABE ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| ADAM A. ARCIERO | 1795 | | |

| | ADAM A. ARCIERO | 1795 | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej | TE below); ducing or simplifying th | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an on-allowable claim(s). | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | , | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | ll be entered and an ex | ्planation of | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evidence is entered. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | · | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 | /Adam A Arciero/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795 | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 4 removed the element "Ti" from which the element M can be selected from in the positive electrode active material. The recitation "the element M in said general formula (1) is at least two selected from the group consisting of Mg, Al, Sr, Mn, Ni and Ca," in independent claims 1 and 4 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.